

Contingency Tables and Chi-Square tests

Loveday Conquest
ed: Eli Gurarie

QERM 598 - Lecture 6

February 21, 2007

Chi-Square tests on Row \times Column Tables of Counts

Purpose: Present the analysis of two-dimensional contingency tables.¹

¹Suggested Reading: Zar's *Biostatistical Analysis*: 23.1, 23.3 

Historical aside on χ^2

The Chi-squared test for contingency tables discussed today is often called *Pearson's chi-squared* test, after *Karl Pearson* (1857-1936).

Pearson is the founder of mathematical statistics as we know it today. After flirting heavily with 16th century Germanics and Law, he became a protégé of *Charles Galton* and worked on many quantitative problems related to biology and genetics. Pearson's contributions include: the classification of probability distributions, linear regression, correlation coefficients, the chi-squared test, and educating a generation or two of statisticians. He founded the world's first Statistics Department at Cambridge as well as the journal *Biometrika*.



Miscellany: his infatuation with Germanics went to the point of changing his given name "Carl" to "Karl" while his staunch (Karl-) Marxism led him to refuse the honor of a knighthood when it was offered to him in 1936. He was involved in a long-standing and bitter dispute with *R.A. Fisher* – but not over the merits of *eugenics*, which Pearson was yet another adherent of.

Example Data

In many situations, categorical data can be classified according to two or more attributes resulting in contingency tables. Here is an example data set from a mortality experiment from four different toxicants:

	Toxicant			
	A	B	C	D
Dead	1	15	7	18
Alive	49	35	43	32
<i>total</i>	50	50	50	50

Here the investigators wanted a balanced design, so they assigned equal sample sizes of 50 organisms to be subjected to each toxicant. It is NOT necessary, however, to have equal sample sizes in order to do a chi-square test on a $r \times c$ contingency table

Notation

We need some notation to be able to talk about the general elements in the table. So:

- f_{ij} = the observed frequencies in the i 'th row ($i = 1 \dots r$) and the j 'th column ($j = 1 \dots c$).
- The row totals will be designated as R .
- The column totals will be designated as C .
- The “grand total” may be obtained by summing up all the row totals, or summing up all the column totals. The grand total is denoted by N . The general table is on the next page.

General Contingency Table

A complete contingency table can be expressed as:

		Column Categories				Row totals
		1	2	...	c	
Row	1	f_{11}	f_{12}	...	f_{1c}	$R_1 = \sum_{j=1}^c f_{1j}$
Categories	2	f_{21}	f_{22}	...	f_{2c}	R_2
	\vdots	\vdots	\ddots			\vdots
	\vdots	\vdots		\ddots		\vdots
	r	f_{r1}	f_{r2}	...	f_{rc}	R_r
Column totals		$C_1 = \sum_{i=1}^r f_{i1}$	C_2	...	C_c	N (sample size)

Note that: $\sum_{i=1}^r R_i = N$ and $\sum_{j=1}^c C_j = N$.

Different sampling schemes lead to the same Chi-Square Test!

Example A

χ^2 -test when populations are sampled separately and proportions are considered in terms of homogeneity: “equal proportions among the groups.”

Sampling Scheme: We consider the c groups of the column categories as separate populations which are then randomly sampled. We then classify the observations in the c separate samples according to the row categories. In this situation, the column totals are assumed fixed (specified in advance).

Applications include experiments where the number of organisms in the control and treatment groups are set in advance.

Example A: Hypothesis

Hypothesis of Homogeneity among the Groups:

- H_0 : The proportion of observations falling into each of the r groups of row categories is the same for each of the c groups of column categories.
- H_a : p_{ij} does not depend on j (the probability of falling in any row category is the same for each column category)

or:

- $p_{i1} = p_{i2} \dots = p_{ic}$ for $i = 1 \dots r$

Note: p denotes a probability ($0 \leq p \leq 1$)

Example A: toxic clams

Toxicity experiment:

	Toxicant				
	A	B	C	D	total
Dead	1	15	7	18	41
Alive	49	35	43	32	159
Total	50	50	50	50	200

Question: is the proportion across the rows the same?

Note: Column totals do *not* have to be equal in size.

Example B

χ^2 -test when proportions are considered in terms of independence following a single sampling of an overall population.

Sampling Scheme: We randomly sample from a single population. Then after the fact, classify the observations according to both row and column categories.

This sampling scheme can arise under two situations or models:

- Poisson: N (total sample size) is not fixed. You go out and collect as much as you can. Many observational studies fall into this category.
- Multinomial: N is specified/fixed in advance. You know there are N observational units in your population and you go out and measure all. Alternatively, cost limitations specify N samples.

The chi-square test itself is not affected. The sampling design does affect the final interpretation of results.

Example B: Hypothesis

- H_0 : Assignment to row and column categories is independent.
- H_a : $p_{ij} = p_i \cdot p_j$. for $i = 1 \dots r$ and $j = 1 \dots c$

Example:

		Male	Female	
Color Blind	Yes			
	No			
				N

The test we will use to test these hypotheses is the same, regardless of which sampling model we employ. The sampling model only affects the interpretation of the results.

Test Statistic

$$\chi_{obs}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(f_{ij} - \hat{f}_{ij})^2}{\hat{f}_{ij}} = \sum \frac{(\text{observed} - \text{expected})^2}{\text{expected}} \quad (1)$$

where \hat{f}_{ij} = expected cell frequency under H_0 .

Under H_0 :

$\chi_{obs}^2 \sim$ chi-squared with $(r - 1) \times (c - 1)$ degrees of freedom

$$df = (r - 1)(c - 1) = \# \text{free cells}$$

$$df = rc - 1 - (r - 1) - (c - 1)$$

$$rc = \text{total } \# \text{ cells: Lose 1 df since } N \text{ is known}$$

$$(r - 1) = \text{estimated row probabilities}$$

$$(c - 1) = \text{estimated column probabilities}$$

Estimating probabilities

Expected cell frequencies, f_{ij} , are calculated according to the formula:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{f}_{ij} &= \hat{p}_{i\cdot} \hat{p}_{\cdot j} N = \left(\frac{R_i}{N}\right) \left(\frac{C_j}{N}\right) N = \frac{R_i C_j}{N} \\ &= \frac{(\text{row total})(\text{column total})}{(\text{grand total})}\end{aligned}$$

where:

$\hat{p}_{i\cdot}$ = probability of being in i^{th} row (estimated)

$\hat{p}_{\cdot j}$ = probability of being in j^{th} column (estimated)

N = sample size

Comments

Assumptions:

- The N observations are collected; a random sample and individuals are chosen independently (i.e., N observations = N samples) - Example of an error: say, in benthic sampling where one grab sample is interpreted as yielding numerous individual observations.

Critical Values:

- Chi-square critical values for $r \times c$ tables are obtained in R via the command `qchisq(desired cumulative probability,df)`. Cumulative probabilities are obtained via `pchisq(value, df)`.

Example: χ^2 -test of homogeneity

Purpose: Test the effect of four different toxicants on mortality of a particular type of marine organism (say, a type of clam). :

	Toxicant			
	A	B	C	D
Dead	1	15	7	18
Alive	49	35	43	32
Total	50	50	50	50

Expected counts under hypothesis of equal proportion mortality for the 4 toxicants

	A	B	C	D
Dead				
Alive				
Total	50	50	50	50

- H_0 : Probability of mortality is the same for Toxicants A, B, C, D.:
 $p_A = p_B = p_C = p_D$, where p_i is the probability of mortality.
- H_a : An inequality exists somewhere among p_A, p_B, p_C, p_D .

Set $\alpha = 0.05$. What are the degrees of freedom?

Example: χ^2 -test of homogeneity

Purpose: Test the effect of four different toxicants on mortality of a particular type of marine organism (say, a type of clam). :

	Toxicant			
	A	B	C	D
Dead	1	15	7	18
Alive	49	35	43	32
Total	50	50	50	50

Expected counts under hypothesis of equal proportion mortality for the 4 toxicants [fill in!]

	A	B	C	D
Dead	10.25	10.25	10.25	10.25
Alive	39.75	39.75	39.75	39.75
Total	50	50	50	50

- H_0 : Probability of mortality is the same for Toxicants A, B, C, D.:
 $p_A = p_B = p_C = p_D$, where p_i is the probability of mortality.
- H_a : An inequality exists somewhere among p_A, p_B, p_C, p_D .

Set $\alpha = 0.05$. What are the degrees of freedom?

Performing the test

Obtain the test statistic:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{obs}^2 &= \frac{(1 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} + \frac{(15 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} + \frac{(7 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} \\ &+ \frac{(18 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} + \frac{(49 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} + \frac{(35 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} \\ &+ \frac{(43 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} + \frac{(32 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} = 21.94\end{aligned}$$

Perform test:

$$\Pr(\chi^2 \geq 21.94) < 0.001$$

(Note: computational shortcuts are in Zar's equations 23.2 and 23.2a.)

Conclusion: Reject H_0 ; conclude there is a difference somewhere among the proportion mortality for the 4 toxicants.

Let's compute the observed proportion dead for each of the 4 toxicants:

$p_A =$

$p_B =$

$p_C =$

$p_D =$

Performing the test

Obtain the test statistic:

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{obs}^2 &= \frac{(1 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} + \frac{(15 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} + \frac{(7 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} \\ &+ \frac{(18 - 10.25)^2}{10.25} + \frac{(49 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} + \frac{(35 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} \\ &+ \frac{(43 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} + \frac{(32 - 39.75)^2}{39.75} = 21.94\end{aligned}$$

Perform test:

$$\Pr(\chi^2 \geq 21.94) < 0.001$$

(Note: computational shortcuts are in Zar's equations 23.2 and 23.2a.)

Conclusion: Reject H_0 ; conclude there is a difference somewhere among the proportion mortality for the 4 toxicants.

Let's compute the observed proportion dead for each of the 4 toxicants:

$$p_A = 0.02$$

$$p_B = 0.30$$

$$p_C = 0.14$$

$$p_D = 0.36$$

Example: χ^2 -test of independence

Brown anole lizards (*Anolis sagrei*) are a Caribbean species that is very successfully invading the southeastern U.S. and Hawaii.² Adult males from the island of Bimini (Schoener 1968) were observed perching on trees or bushes ($\alpha = 0.05$):



Perch Height (ft)	Perch Diameter (in)		totals
	≤ 4.0	> 4.0	
> 4.75	32	11	43
≤ 4.75	85	35	121
totals	118	46	N=164

Model: Poisson

- H_0 : Probability of a lizard being at a certain height is independent of perch diameter: $p_{ij} = p_{i.} \times p_{.j}$
- H_a : $p_{ij} \neq p_{i.} \times p_{.j}$

²image from: <http://invasions.bio.utk.edu/invaders/sagrei.html>

Performing the test

Expected cell counts:

	≤ 4.0	> 4.0
> 4.75	$\frac{(43)(118)}{164} = 30.9$	$\frac{(43)(46)}{164} = 12.1$
≤ 4.75	$\frac{(121)(118)}{164} = 87.1$	$\frac{(121)(46)}{164} = 33.9$

$$\chi_{obs}^2 = \frac{(32 - 30.9)^2}{30.9} + \frac{(86 - 87.1)^2}{87.1} + \frac{(11 - 12.1)^2}{12.1} + \frac{(35 - 33.9)^2}{33.9} = 0.18$$

With degrees of freedom: $df = (2 - 1)(2 - 1) = 1$

Perform test:

$$\Pr(\chi_1^2 \geq 0.18) \approx 0.70$$

Conclusion: Do not reject H_0 : Male *Anolis sagrei* pick perching heights independently of perch diameter

Comment on 2×2 tables

Consider 2×2 table:

f_{11}	f_{12}	R_1
f_{21}	f_{22}	R_2
C_1	C_2	N

We can rewrite the χ^2 statistic in this case:

$$\chi_1^2 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{(f_{ij} - \hat{f}_{ij})^2}{\hat{f}_{ij}} = \frac{(f_{11}f_{22} - f_{12}f_{21})^2 \cdot N}{C_1 \cdot C_2 \cdot R_1 \cdot R_2}$$

where:

$$\hat{f}_{ij}^2 = \frac{R_i \cdot C_j}{N} \quad (2)$$